UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,844	02/10/2006	Manfred Kieser	MERCK-3135	7151	
	7590 01/09/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENI		1101 H 1, 1.0.	NILAND, PAT	NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, '	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714		
			<u></u>		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/09/2007		PAI	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_							
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
		10/567,844	KIESER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patrick D. Niland	1714				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss			
VVHIII - External after	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minus. c. cause the application to become	VICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commit ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)				
Status	•						
2a) <u></u>	, —	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x paπe Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have bee	en received in this National Sta	ge			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🔯 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	•			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 2/06.	5)					
C Detect 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 1714

- 1. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the disclosed "derivatives", does not reasonably provide enablement for all of the "derivatives" encompassed by the instant claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- A. The instant claims recite "derivatives" but the specification does not fully enable the full scope of "derivatives". It is unclear how much derivation may be performed also. In re Wands has 8 criteria, MPEP 2164.01(a), as shown below.
- (A)The breadth of the claims;
- (B)The nature of the invention;
- (C)The state of the prior art;
- (D)The level of one of ordinary skill;
- (E)The level of predictability in the art;
- (F) The amount of direction provided by the inventor;
- (G)The existence of working examples; and
- (H)The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. The claimed "derivatives" encompass an infinite number of derivations (Wands factor A). The chemical arts are unpredictable to the ordinary skilled artisan (Wands factors B, C, D, and E). The ordinary skilled artisan has not even contemplated all of the "derivatives" encompassed by the instant claims nor figured out how to make them (Wands factors A-E). The instant specification gives scant direction as to how to make all of the "derivatives" encompassed by the instant claims and how to choose the ones that will function according to the instantly claimed

Art Unit: 1714

invention (Wands factors F-G). It would require an undue, e.g. infinite, amount of experimentation to determine how to make all of the "derivatives" encompassed by the instant claims and then to figure out which ones will function according to the instantly claimed invention (Wands factor H). The claims are therefore not commensurate in scope with the enabling specification.

- 2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. Claim 16 provides for the use of the claimed pigment composition, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 6451102 Hilder et al..

Page 4

Hilder discloses a pigment composition containing pigments falling within the scope of the instantly claimed flake form effect pigments and the instantly claimed at least partially polar carrier material at the abstract; column 1, lines 1-67, particularly 6, 12, and 20-26; column 2, lines 1-67, particularly 8-19, 26-29 of which the montan ester and amide waxes are partially polar, and 30-47; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 5-14 and 54-67 of which the extruder action will input mechanical energy which turns to heat to heat the composition of the patentee; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 1-11; column 5, lines 1-40, particularly 7-9 and 18-21; and column 6, lines 1-38, particularly 19, 21, and 36-38 which falls within the scope of the additives of the instant claims. The patentee references melt flow of the compositions, which falls within the scope of the instant claims 11 and 13-14 given the melting point of the waxes of the patentee. The montan ester waxes are mixtures of waxes of the instant claims 2, 5 and 12.

5. Claims 1-5 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 6451102 Hilder et al..

Hilder discloses a pigment composition containing pigments falling within the scope of the instantly claimed flake form effect pigments and the instantly claimed at least partially polar carrier material at the abstract; column 1, lines 1-67, particularly 6, 12, and 20-26; column 2, lines 1-67, particularly 8-19, 26-29 of which the montan ester and amide waxes are partially polar, and 30-47; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 5-14 and 54-67 of which the extruder action will input mechanical energy which turns to heat to heat the composition of the patentee; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 1-11; column 5, lines 1-40, particularly 7-9 and 18-21; and column 6, lines 1-38, particularly 19, 21, and 36-38 which falls within the scope of the additives of the instant claims. The patentee references melt flow of the compositions, which falls within the

Page 5

scope of the instant claims 11 and 13-14 given the melting point of the waxes of the patentee.

The montan ester waxes are mixtures of waxes of the instant claims 2, 5 and 12.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed processing parameters, i.e. adding heat to give the claimed temperatures, with the montanate and amide waxes of the patentee to obtain the melt flow and easier mixing due to the lower viscosity of molten products referenced regarding the heated polyethylene compositions of the patentee and because the combinations of montanate or amide waxes with the disclosed pigments would have been expected to give the improvements taught by the patentee.

6. Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/035745 A1 Richter et al., as translated by US Pat. Application Pub. No. 2004/0254280 A1 Richter et al. in combination with US Pat. No. 6451102 Hilder et al..

Hilder discloses a pigment composition containing pigments falling within the scope of the instantly claimed flake form effect pigments and the instantly claimed at least partially polar carrier material at the abstract; column 1, lines 1-67, particularly 6, 12, and 20-26; column 2, lines 1-67, particularly 8-19, 26-29 of which the montan ester and amide waxes are partially polar, and 30-47; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 5-14 and 54-67 of which the extruder action will input mechanical energy which turns to heat to heat the composition of the patentee; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 1-11; column 5, lines 1-40, particularly 7-9 and 18-21; and column 6, lines 1-38, particularly 19, 21, and 36-38 which falls within the scope of the additives of the instant claims. The patentee references melt flow of the compositions, which falls within the

Art Unit: 1714

scope of the instant claims 11 and 13-14 given the melting point of the waxes of the patentee.

The montan ester waxes are mixtures of waxes of the instant claims 2, 5 and 12.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed mixture of polyamide wax and montan waxes in the amounts of the instant claim 6 as the wax mixture with the effect pigments of Hilder because Richter shows the benefits of using the instantly claimed mixtures of waxes at sections [0001]-[0006], [0010]-[0013], [0016]-[0046], [0047]-[0049], [0056], particularly the amounts of ingredients, and the remainder of the document and these benefits would have been expected with the pigment compositions of Hilder. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed flake form effect pigments as the pigments of Richter because they are known for use in similar compositions such as those taught by Hilder and the effect pigment benefits would have been expected in the pigments disclosed by Richter generically. The compound of Richter's claim 11 is a polyamide copolymer which falls within the scope of the instant claim 7

7. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2002-309150 A Rock Paint KK.

Rock Paint discloses mixing effect pigments with ethylene vinyl acetate or ethylene acrylic acid having melting points within the scope of the instant claim 4 at the DETAILED DESCRIPTION, sections [0002], of which the mica based effect pigments are necessarily in flake form, [0006], [0008], [0009], [0011], [0013]-[0024] in particular.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,844

Art Unit: 1714

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick D. Niland
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

Page 7